

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/501,445	02/10/2000	Di Cao	26.0178 3849		
7590 02/08/2005			EXAMINER		
Attn Intellectual Property Counsel			JONES, HUGH M		
Schlumberger Oilfield Services 200 Gillingham Lane MD 200-9			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Sugar Land, TX 77478			2128	2128	
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	á	
1	,	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/501,445	CAO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Hugh Jones	2128		

Advisory Action	09/501,445	CAO ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hugh Jones	2128				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
•••		-				
HE REPLY FILED 12 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3.	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered l	hecause			
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))).					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	·					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER .						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The finality of the rejection is proper; any arguments were in response to Applicant's arguments; arguments that GeoFrame is not essential are not persuasive; there is no enabling disclosure of the "model" in the specification - the sections cited by Applicants are not enabling, and there is no incorporation of enabling material; furthermore, Applicants still have not provided the requested documents that consitute essential matter.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
, v. <u></u> vinoi, <u></u> ,						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 2022005